



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/807,589	03/23/2004	David L. Marvit	073338.0194 (04-50468 FLA	3415
5073	7590	03/22/2007	EXAMINER	
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. 2001 ROSS AVENUE SUITE 600 DALLAS, TX 75201-2980			LIANG, REGINA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	03/22/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 03/22/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

glenda.orrantia@hotmail.com
mike.furr@bakerbotts.com
ptomail1@bakerbotts.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/807,589

Applicant(s)

MARVIT ET AL.

Examiner

Regina Liang

Art Unit

2629

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 January 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,5-10,12-17 and 19-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3, 5-10, 12-17, 19-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/23/07.
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to amendment filed 1/25/07., Claims 1-3, 5-10, 12-17, 19-21.
2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 1-3, 5-10, 12-17, 19-21 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of copending

Art Unit: 2629

Application No. 10/807,560. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both are claiming a similar subject matter.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The following is an example for comparing claim 1 of this application and claim 1 of copending application 10/807,560.

claim 1 of this application	claim 1 of copending application 10/807,560
a motion controlled handheld device comprising:	a motion controlled handheld device comprising:
a display having a viewable surface and operable to generate an image;	a display having a viewable surface and operable to generate an image;
a gesture database maintaining a plurality of gestures, each gesture defined by a motion of the device with respect to a first position of the device;	a gesture database maintaining a plurality of predefined gestures, each gesture defined by a motion of the device with respect to a first position of the device;
a plurality of applications each having a plurality of predefined commands;	an application having a plurality of predefined commands;
a motion detection module operable to detect motion of the handheld device within three dimensions and to identify components of the	a motion detection module operable to detect motion of the handheld device within three dimensions and to identify components of the

motion in relation to the viewable surface;	motion in relation to the viewable surface;
	a user interface operable to receive user input associating selected ones of the gestures with corresponding ones of the commands;
a gesture mapping database comprising a plurality of command maps, each of the command maps corresponding to a particular one of the applications and mapping each of the predefined commands to one of the gestures;	a gesture mapping database comprising a command map for the application, the command map comprising mappings of the selected gestures to the corresponding commands as indicated by the user input;

a control module operable to load one of the applications, to select one of the command maps corresponding to the loaded application, to track movement of the handheld device using the motion detection module, to compare the tracked movement against the gestures to determine a matching gesture, to identify, using the selected command map, the predefined command mapped to the matching gesture, and to perform the	a control module operable to load the application, to track movement of the handheld device using the motion detection module, to compare the tracked movement against the gestures to determine a matching one of the gestures, to identify, using the command map, the command mapped to the matching gesture, and to perform the identified command using the application. matching gesture, and to perform the
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

identified command using the loaded application.	identified command using the loaded application.
--------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------

As can be seen above, claim 1 of the copending application does not have a plurality of applications, however, it would have been obvious to realize claim 1 of the copending application having a plurality of applications since this provides more than one application to be used in the device and this permits different applications to assign different actions or meanings to the commands.

5. Claims 1-3, 5-10, 12-17, 19-21 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of copending Application No. 10/807,572. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both are claiming a similar subject matter.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The following is an example for comparing claim 1 of this application and claim 1 of copending application 10/807,572.

claim 1 of this application	claim 1 of copending application 10/807,572
a motion controlled handheld device comprising:	a motion controlled handheld device comprising:
a display having a viewable surface and	a display having a viewable surface and

operable to generate an image;	operable to generate an image;
a gesture database maintaining a plurality of gestures, each gesture defined by a motion of the device with respect to a first position of the device;	a gesture database maintaining a plurality of gestures, each gesture defined by a motion of the device with respect to a first position of the device, the gestures comprising symbol gestures each corresponding to a character from a preexisting character set;
a plurality of applications each having a plurality of predefined commands;	an application database maintaining at least one application;
a motion detection module operable to detect motion of the handheld device within three dimensions and to identify components of the motion in relation to the viewable surface;	a motion detection module operable to detect motion of the handheld device within three dimensions and to identify components of the motion in relation to the viewable surface;
a gesture mapping database comprising a plurality of command maps, each of the command maps corresponding to a particular one of the applications and mapping each of the predefined commands to one of the gestures;	a gesture mapping database comprising a gesture input map for the application, the gesture input map comprising mappings of the system gestures to corresponding inputs for the application;

<p>a control module operable to load one of the applications, to select one of the command maps corresponding to the loaded application, to track movement of the handheld device using the motion detection module, to compare the tracked movement against the gestures to determine a matching gesture, to identify, using the selected command map, the predefined command mapped to the matching gesture, and to perform the identified command using the loaded application.</p>	<p>a control module operable to load the application, to track movement of the handheld device using the motion detection module, to compare the tracked movement against the symbol gestures to identify a matching symbol gesture, to identify, using the gesture input map, the corresponding input mapped to the matching symbol gesture, and to provide the corresponding input to the application.</p>
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

As can be seen above, claim 1 of this application recites a plurality of applications each having a plurality of predefined commands while the copending application recites an application database maintaining at least one application. It would have been obvious to realize claim 1 of the copending application having a plurality of applications since this provides more than one application to be used in the device and this permits different applications to assign different actions or meanings to the commands.

Art Unit: 2629

6. Claims 1-3, 7-10, 14-17, 20, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mosttov (WO 03/001340).

As to claims 1, 21, Mosttov discloses a motion controlled handheld device (Fig. 1) comprising:

- a display having a viewable surface and operable to generate an image;

- a gesture database (the gesture recognition system 15 in Fig. 2) maintaining a plurality of predefined gestures, each gesture defined by a motion of the device with respect to a first position of the device (see page 6, lines 22-28; page 7, line 29 to page 8, line 2);

- a plurality of applications each having a plurality of predefined commands (28 in Fig. 2, see page 8, lines 8-16);

- a gesture mapping database (24 in Fig. 2) comprising a plurality of command maps, each of the command maps corresponding to a particular one of the applications and mapping each of the predefined commands to one of the gestures (page 8, lines 17-28);

- a motion detection module (sensors 12 in Fig. 2) operable to detect motion of the handheld device within three dimensions and to identify components of the motion in relation to the viewable surface (page 7, lines 16-25); and

- a control module (Fig. 2) operable to load one of the applications, to select one of the command maps corresponding to the loaded application, to track movement of the handheld device using the motion detection module (12), to compare the tracked movement against the gestures to determine a matching gesture, to identify, using the selected command map, the predefined command mapped to the matching gesture, and to perform the identified command using the loaded application (see page 7, line 26 to page 8, line 34 for example).

In addition, page 8, lines 1-14 of Mosttov teaches the one applications has a first application state and a second application state, and mapping for the first and second application states as claimed (e.g., shaking motion is a command to close the application, it is inherent the device has to have a different motion, in order to open the application; the shaking motion and different motion correspond to the selected gestures of predefined commands of the selected application).

As to claim 2, Mosttov teaches the control module is further operable to load a second one of the applications, to select a second one of the command maps corresponding to the second loaded application, and to replace the first selected command map with the second selected command map (page 8, lines 29-34; page 10, lines 13-16 for example).

As to claim 3, Mosttov teaches the matching gesture maps to a first predefined command using the first selected command map and to a second predefined command using the second selected command map (page 8, lines 24-34).

As to claim 7, Fig. 5 of Mosttov teaches the device comprising three accelerometers (40) for detecting acceleration along three axis, the gesture database, the motion detection module and the control module as claimed.

Claims 8-10, 14-17, 20, which are method claims corresponding to the above apparatus claims 1-7, are rejected for the same reasons as stated above since such method "steps" are clearly read on by the corresponding "means".

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 1/25/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's remarks regarding claim 1 are not persuasive. Page 8, lines 1-14 of Mosttov teaches the one applications has a first application state and a second application state, and mapping for the first and second application states as claimed (e.g., shaking motion is a command to close the application – first application state, it is inherent the device has to have a different motion – second application state, in order to open the application; the shaking motion and different motion correspond to the selected gestures of predefined commands of the selected application).

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).


A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Regina Liang whose telephone number is (571) 272-7693. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8AM to 5:00PM.

Art Unit: 2629

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached on (571) 272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Regina Liang
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2674

3/19/07